

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.					
10/661,666	09/12/2003	Paul B. Aamodt	P0011617.00	9148					
27581 MEDTRONIC	7590 08/22/2007 INC.		EXAMINER :						
710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			LEE, CYNTHIA K						
MINNEAPOL	IS, MN 55432-9924		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER					
•			. 1745						
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE					
•	•		08/22/2007	PAPER					

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)		•			
-		10/661,666 AAMODT, PAUI		AAMODT, PAUL	B.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		Cynthia Lee		1745			
² er	The MAILING DATE of this communication appriod for Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence a	ddress		
•	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS CO 36(a). In no event, how will apply and will expire cause the application	OMMUNICATION rever, may a reply be time SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	l. sely filed the mailing date of this O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Sta	tus						
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 Ma</u>	av 2007.					
2	a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.						
	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Dis	position of Claims						
	4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application.		•		• .		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-12 is/are withdraw		ation.		·		
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			•			
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				•		
	8) Claim(s) 1-9 and 13-15 are subject to restriction	n and/or electior	requirement.	~			
٩p	plication Papers	•					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce		jected to by the E	Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•	•				
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	ion is required if th	ne drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
•	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the	e attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.		
>ri∙	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			1			
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f):			
	a) All b) Some * c) None of:		,				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rec	eived.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rec	eived in Application	on No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents h	ave been receive	ed in this Nationa	l Stage		
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2	2(a)).		•		
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified c	opies not receive	d.			
			•				
Atta	ichment(s)						
_	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	. 4)	Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) [Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	s\ [Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal P	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			
5) Ļ	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Other:	atent Application			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,					

Application/Control Number: 10/661,666

Art Unit: 1745

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species

Figure 1 – elongated separator

Figure 2 – elongated separator with a removing section

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a

Application/Control Number: 10/661,666

Art Unit: 1745

claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,666

Art Unit: 1745

A telephone call was made to Ms. Carol Barry on 8/6/2007 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cynthia Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-8699. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Susy Tsang-Foster can be reached on 571-272-1293. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1745

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ckl

SUSYTSANG-FOSTER

DRIMARY EXAMINE